

# A Generalized Optimum Requirement Spanning Tree Problem with a Monge-like Property

01013281 札幌大学 穴沢 務 ANAZAWA Tsutomu

## 1 Introduction

We begin by introducing the *optimum requirement spanning tree problem* (ORST problem) studied by Hu [5], which has motivated our studies. Let  $V = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$  be a set of  $n$  vertices,  $\binom{V}{2}$  the set of all pairs of distinct vertices in  $V$ , and  $\mathcal{T}$  the whole set of undirected spanning trees on  $V$ . A tree  $T \in \mathcal{T}$  with an edge set  $E = \{(v, u) | v, u \in V\}$  is denoted by  $T = (V, E)$  conventionally. Assume that a nonnegative value  $r_{vu}$  is given to each  $\{v, u\} \in \binom{V}{2}$ , where  $r_{vu} = r_{uv}$  holds. Hu [5] defined an ORST to be a tree  $T \in \mathcal{T}$  which minimizes  $f(T) = \sum_{\{v, u\} \in \binom{V}{2}} d(v, u; T) r_{vu}$ , where  $d(v, u; T)$  is the length of the path between  $v$  and  $u$  on  $T$ . He showed that a tree minimizing  $f$  is obtained by the Gomory-Hu algorithm [4] when degrees of vertices are not restricted. ORST problem has been extended by Anazawa et al. [2, 3] and Anazawa [1] in different manners. The aim of this paper is to generalize the problem and results discussed in the literature. For generalization, we define a *dummy vertex* as a vertex whose number is greater than  $n-1$ , and assume that  $r_{vu} = 0$  holds if  $v$  or  $u$  is dummy. Here we consider the problem to find a tree  $T \in \mathcal{T}$  minimizes a function  $f_g(T) = \sum_{\{v, u\} \in \binom{V}{2}} g(d(v, u; T)) r_{vu}$  under constraint that  $\deg(v) \leq l_v$  holds for all  $v \in V$  (called *maximum degree constraint*), where  $g(x)$  be an arbitrary real-valued function of real variable  $x$  such that it is strictly increasing on  $[0, n-1)$ , and  $l_v$  is given to each vertex  $v \in V$ . We call this problem a *generalized optimum requirement spanning tree problem* (GORST problem), and a solution to this problem an  *$f_g$ -optimum tree*. Our main assertion on GORST problem in this paper is the following:

**Main Theorem** *Suppose that  $l_0 \geq l_1 \geq \dots \geq l_{n-1}$  and  $\sum_{v=0}^{n-1} l_v \geq 2(n-1)$  hold. If  $\{r_{vu}\}$  satisfies  $r_{vu} + r_{v'u'} \geq r_{vv'} + r_{v'u}$  for all 4-tuple  $\{v, v', u, u'\}$  ( $v < v', u < u'$ ) such that  $r_{vu}, r_{v'u'}, r_{vv'}$  and  $r_{v'u}$  are all defined (called Monge-like property), then  $T^*$  defined below is  $f_g$ -optimum.*

**Definition of  $T^*$ :** We set  $s_{-1} = 0$ ,  $s_0 = l_0$ ,  $s_u = s_{u-1} + (l_u - 1)$  ( $u = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and let  $N$  be the minimum integer satisfying  $n-1 \leq s_{N-1}$ ; also we define a function  $\pi$  on a set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  by

$$\pi(v) = \begin{cases} u & \text{if } s_{u-1} + 1 \leq v \leq s_u \text{ for } u = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N-2 \\ N-1 & \text{if } s_{N-2} + 1 \leq v \leq n-1 \end{cases},$$

and let  $E^* = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1}\}$ , where  $e_v = (\pi(v), v)$  ( $v = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ). Then we obtain  $T^* = (V, E^*)$ .

As the name indicated, Monge-like property is closely related to the Monge property, which is originally discussed in the classical Hitchcock transportation problem, and is known to make some NP-hard problems efficiently solvable (see [6]).

## 2 Preliminaries and lemmas

For a graph  $G = (V, E)$  and a subset  $U \subset V$ , a subgraph  $G \cap U$  is defined by a forest  $G' = (U, E')$ , where  $E' = \{(v, u) \in E | v, u \in U\}$ ; while a subgraph  $G \setminus U$  is defined by a forest  $G'' = (V \setminus U, E'')$ , where  $E'' = \{(v, u) \in E | v, u \in V \setminus U\}$ . For a rooted tree  $T = (V, E) \in \mathcal{T}$  and a vertex  $v \in V$ , let  $\chi(v) = \{u | v \text{ is the parent of } u\}$ . For a path  $P = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k)$  of a tree  $T = (V, E) \in \mathcal{T}$ , let  $F$  be a forest defined by  $F = (V, E \setminus \{(u_1, u_2), (u_2, u_3), \dots, (u_{k-1}, u_k)\})$ , and  $T(u_i) = (V(u_i), E(u_i))$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ) the connected components each of which contains  $u_i$ . We regard  $u_i$  as the root of  $T(u_i)$  in the sequel. An edge  $(v, u)$  such that  $v$  or  $u$  is a dummy vertex is called a *dummy edge*. For a tree  $T = (V, E)$ , we will sometimes construct another tree  $\tilde{T} = (\tilde{V}, \tilde{E})$  satisfying  $\tilde{T} \setminus \{\text{dummy vertices}\} = T$ . Then it is obvious that  $f_g(\tilde{T}) = f_g(T)$  holds. Suppose that a tree  $T = (V, E) \in \mathcal{T}$  satisfies the maximum degree constraint and a path  $P = (u_1, \dots, u_k)$  ( $k = 2$  or  $3$ ) of  $T$  is given. Then we construct  $\tilde{T} = (\tilde{V}, \tilde{E})$  by adding dummy vertices and edges to  $T$ , and simultaneously introduce an isomorphism  $\sigma_P$  as follows: Let us construct  $\tilde{T}(u_i) = (\tilde{V}(u_i), \tilde{E}(u_i))$  ( $i = 1, k$ ) by adding dummy

vertices and edges to  $T(u_i) = (V(u_i), E(u_i))$  ( $i = 1, k$ ) defined for  $P$  so that we can define an isomorphism  $\sigma_P : \tilde{V}(u_1) \rightarrow \tilde{V}(u_k)$  satisfying (i)  $\sigma_P(u_1) = u_k$ , (ii)  $(\sigma_P(w), \sigma_P(w')) \in \tilde{E}(u_k)$  if and only if  $(w, w') \in \tilde{E}(u_1)$ , and (iii) for any  $v \in \tilde{V}(u_1)$ ,  $|\chi(v)| = |N|$  or  $|\chi(\sigma_P(v))| = |N'|$  holds where  $N = \{w \in \chi(v) | w \leq n-1\}$  and  $N' = \{w' \in \chi(\sigma_P(v)) | w' \leq n-1\}$ . We call such an isomorphism  $\sigma_P$  a *forced isomorphism* for  $P$ . Also for  $\tilde{T}$  and  $\sigma_P$  defined above, we consider the following transformation of  $\tilde{T}$  which may reduce the  $f_g$  value: Let  $V_C = \{v \in \tilde{V}(u_1) | v > \sigma_P(v)\}$ , and exchange  $v$  and  $\sigma_P(v)$  for all  $v \in V_C$ . We call such a transformation *biasing* with respect to  $\sigma_P$ . Further let  $\tilde{T}'$  be a tree obtained from  $\tilde{T}$  by biasing and  $T' = \tilde{T}' \setminus \{\text{dummy vertices}\}$ .

**Lemma 1**  $T'$  is also a tree belonging to  $\mathcal{T}$  and satisfies the maximum degree constraint.

**Lemma 2** If  $\{r_{vu}\}$  satisfies Monge-like property, then  $f_g(T') \leq f_g(T)$  holds.

Here we show some properties of the tree  $T^* = (V, E^*)$  satisfying  $l_0 \geq l_1 \geq \dots \geq l_{n-1}$ . Let  $T_\nu^* = T^* \cap \{0, 1, \dots, \nu-1\}$  ( $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) be subtrees of the tree  $T^*$ .

**Lemma 3** For each  $T_\nu^*$  ( $\nu \geq 2$ ), let  $P = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k)$  be an arbitrary path of  $T_\nu^*$  satisfying  $u_1 < u_k$ , and let  $m = \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$  where  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  is the maximum integer not exceeding  $x$ . Then  $u_i < u_{k-i+1}$  and  $\deg(u_i) \geq \deg(u_{k-i+1})$  hold for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

**Lemma 4** Let  $T$  be a tree containing a subtree  $T_\nu^*$  (that is,  $T \cap \{0, 1, \dots, \nu-1\} = T_\nu^*$ ), and  $P = (u_1, \dots, u_k)$  ( $k = 2$  or  $3$ ) an arbitrary path of  $T$ . For the tree  $T$  and the path  $P$ , let  $\tilde{T}$  be a dummies-added tree on which a forced isomorphism  $\sigma_P$  is defined,  $\tilde{T}'$  a tree obtained from  $\tilde{T}$  by biasing with respect to  $\sigma_P$ , and  $T' = \tilde{T}' \setminus \{\text{dummy vertices}\}$ . Then  $T'$  also contains  $T_\nu^*$ .

### 3 Proof of Main Theorem (Outline)

Let  $T^* \in \mathcal{T}$  be the tree stated in Main Theorem, and  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{opt}}$  the set of  $f_g$ -optimum trees. By using Lemmas 2 and 4, we can show that  $T^*$  must belong to  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{opt}}$ .

### 4 Application

Let vertices be regarded as network hosts, edges as network cables, and  $\{r_{vu}\}$  as relative frequencies of communication. Also let  $p(T; k)$  denote the probability that a request of communication is not realized on a tree network  $T = (V, E)$  with  $k$  failures. Under some conditions,  $p(T; k)$  is expressed by  $\sum_{\{v,u\} \in \binom{V}{2}} g(d(v, u; T)) r_{vu}$  where

$$g(x) = 1 - \sum_{i+j=k} \frac{\binom{n-1-x}{i} \binom{n-1-x}{j}}{\binom{n}{i} \binom{n-1}{j}} \alpha_{ij}$$

and  $\alpha_{ij} = \Pr\{i \text{ vertices and } j \text{ edges are broken down} | i + j \text{ failures have occurred}\}$ . Noting that  $g(x)$  is strictly increasing on  $[0, n-1]$ , We find that  $T^*$  defined above minimizes  $p(T; k)$  for any  $k$  ( $0 < k \leq 2n-1$ ) if  $\{r_{vu}\}$  satisfies Monge-like property.

### References

- [1] T. Anazawa, On a Condition for Obtaining an Explicit Solution of Optimum Requirement Spanning Tree, *submitted to Networks*.
- [2] T. Anazawa, T. Kodera and M. Jimbo, An Explicit Solution of Optimum Requirement Spanning Tree with Maximum Degree Conditions, *Congressus Numerantium*, **117** (1996) 43-52.
- [3] ———, Optimum Requirement Spanning Trees and Reliability of Tree Networks, *submitted to Networks*.
- [4] R. E. Gomory and T. C. Hu, Multi-terminal network flows, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, **9** (1961) 551-570.
- [5] T. C. Hu, Optimum Communication Spanning Trees, *SIAM J. Comput.*, **3** (1974) 188-195.
- [6] U. Pfersch, R. Rudolf and G. J. Woeginger, Monge Matrices Make Maximization Manegable, *Operations Research Letters*, **16** (1994) 245-254.