

AN EXPLICIT FORMULA FOR THE LIMITING OPTIMAL
VALUE IN THE FULL INFORMATION DURATION PROBLEMKarelian Research Center of Russian Academy of Science Vladimir. V. Mazalov
01303783 愛知大学 * 玉置 光司 TAMAKI Mitsushi

1. Introduction

We consider here a full-information model for the duration problem (See Ferguson, Hardwik, Tamaki) with horizon n tending to infinity. Our objective here is to determine the asymptotics for the optimal value $V(n)$.

Suppose that X_1, X_2, \dots are i.i.d. random variables, uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$, where X_n denotes the value of the object at the n -th stage from the end. We call an object relatively best if it possesses the largest value than previous objects. The task is to select a relatively best object with the view of maximizing the duration it stays relatively best. Let $v(x, n)$ denote the optimal expected return when there are n objects yet to be observed and the present maximum of past observations is x . Notice that $V(n) = v(0, n)$.

The Optimality Equation for $v(x, n)$ has form

$$v(x, n) = xv(x, n-1) + \int_x^1 \max\{w(t, n), v(t, n-1)\} dt,$$

$$(n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad v(x, 0) = 0)$$

where

$$w(x, n) = (1 - x^n)/(1 - x).$$

denotes the expected payoff given that the n th object from the last is a relatively best object of value $X_n = x$ and we select it.

Denote the point of intersection of functions $v(x, n-1)$ and $w(x, n)$ as x_n . It exists and unique because $w(x, n)$ are increasing in x while $v(x, n)$ are nonincreasing in x , and $w(0, n) = 1 \leq \int_0^1 w(t, n) = v(0, n)$, and $w(1, n) = n > 0 = v(1, n)$.

If we stop with a relatively-best object $X_n = x$, we receive $w(x, n)$. If we continue and select the next

relatively-best object, we expect to receive

$$u(x, n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x^{k-1} \int_x^1 w(t, n-k) dx$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} (1 - x^j)/j.$$

It is easy to see that $u(x, n)$ satisfies the following relation.

$$u(x, n) = xu(x, n-1) + \int_x^1 w(t, n-1) dt, \quad u(x, 1) = 0.$$

The problem is monotone [Ferguson et al, 1992], so the one-stage look-ahead rule (OLA) is optimal and prescribes stopping if $w(x, n) \geq u(x, n)$; that is, if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x^{k-1} \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} (1 - x^j)/j\right) \geq 0.$$

Equivalently we stop on step n if the relatively-best object has value $X_n \geq x_n$.

x_n written as $x_n = 1 - z_n/n$ satisfies the equation

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{z_n}{n}\right)^{k-1} \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{z_n}{n}\right)^j\right)/j\right) = 0,$$

and from here z_n must converge to a constant, $z_n \rightarrow z$, where $z \approx 2.11982$ satisfies the integral equation

$$\int_0^1 e^{-zv} \left[1 - \int_0^{1-v} (1 - e^{-zu})/u du\right] dv = 0.$$

(See also Porosinski.)

2. Limiting optimal value

Let us introduce two new functions

$$y(x, n) = v(x, n) - u(x, n+1),$$

$$\Delta_n(x) = u(x, n) - w(x, n).$$

In the interval $[0, x_n]$ both functions are non-negative and $\Delta(x_n, n) = 0$. It is easy to see that $y(x, n)$ satisfies the equation

$$y(x, n) = xy(x, n-1) + \int_x^{x_n} \left[y(t, n-1) + \Delta_n(t) \right] dt, \\ 0 \leq x \leq x_n,$$

and $y(x, n) = 0$, for $x \geq x_n$. Also, notice that $y(x, 1) = y(x, 2) = 0$ (because $x_1 = x_2 = 0$) and $y(x, 3) = \int_x^{x_3} \Delta_3(t) dt$, where $\Delta_3(x) = 1/2 - x - (5/2)x^2$.

Now we have the following lemmas

Lemma 1. Function $\Delta_n(x)$ satisfies the equations

$$\Delta_{n+1}(x) - \Delta_n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x^{n-j} - x^n}{j} - x^n. \\ (n = 2, 3, \dots, j \quad \Delta_1(x) = -1)$$

Lemma 2. $y(x, n)$ satisfies the equations

$$y(x, n) = \sum_{j=i}^n \int_x^{x_j} t^{n-j} \Delta_j(t) dt, \\ x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_i, i = 3, 4, \dots, n.$$

Lemma 3.

$$y_n = y(0, n) = \sum_{j=3}^n \int_0^{x_j} t^{n-j} \Delta_j(t) dt.$$

Consider the difference $r_n = y_{n+1} - y_n$. We can represent it as a sum of two expressions

$$r_n = y_{n+1} - y_n \\ = \sum_{j=3}^n \int_0^{x_j} t^{n-j} [\Delta_{j+1}(t) - \Delta_j(t)] dt \\ + \sum_{j=3}^{n+1} \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} t^{n-j+1} \Delta_j(t) dt.$$

The first sum can be rewritten in the form

$$\sum_{j=3}^n \int_0^{x_j} t^{n-j} \left[\sum_{i=1}^j \frac{t^{j-i} - t^j}{i} - t^j \right] \\ = \sum_{j=3}^n \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^j \left[\frac{x_j^{n-i+1}}{n-i+1} - \frac{x_j^{n+1}}{n+1} \right] \frac{1}{i} - \frac{x_j^{n+1}}{n+1} \right\}.$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $x_n = 1 - z_n/n$ we have that this converges to the integral

$$V^* = \int_0^1 e^{-\frac{x}{u}} \left[\int_0^u dv \left(\frac{e^{\frac{xv}{u}} - 1}{v} + \frac{e^{\frac{xv}{u}}}{1-v} \right) dv - 1 \right] du \\ \approx 0.435178.$$

The second sum can be shown to tend to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 1. For large n

$$\frac{V_n}{n} \rightarrow V^*,$$

3. PPP approach

Samuels considered our problem in PPP (Planar Poisson process) approach. He showed that the optimal limiting policy of the duration problem has $c/(1-t)$ threshold-rule and that the limiting duration under $c/(1-t)$ threshold-rule can be calculated as

$$U^* = \int_0^1 \int_0^t E \left[D \left(s, \frac{c}{1-s} \right) \right] f_S(s) f_T(t) ds dt \\ + \int_0^1 \int_0^s \left\{ \int_0^{\frac{t-s}{1-t}} E[D(t, y)] \frac{1-t}{c} dy \right\} f_T(t) f_S(s) dt ds$$

where

$$E[D(t, y)] = \frac{1 - e^{-y(1-t)}}{y}, \\ f_T(t) = c(1-t)^{c-1}, \\ f_S(s) = \frac{cs}{(1-s)^{c+2}} e^{-\frac{cs}{1-s}}.$$

Straightforward calculations from these immediately yield

$$U^* = \{I(c) - 1 + e^{-c}\} + \{(1+c)(e^c - 1) - ce^c I(c)\} J(c),$$

where

$$I(c) = \int_0^1 \frac{1 - e^{-cu}}{u} du \\ J(c) = \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-cv}}{v} dv.$$

It is not difficult to show that for $c = z$, U^* agrees with V^*

REFERENCES

1. T.S.Ferguson, J.P.Hardwick, M.Tamaki, *Maximization of duration of owning a relatively best object*, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 125, 1992, 37-57.
2. Z. Porosinski, *The Full-information best-choice problem with a random number of observations*, Stoch. Proc. and Appl. **24**, 1987, 293-307.
3. S. M. Samuels : personal communications.