

## NOTE ON THE CONTINUITY OF M-CONVEX AND L-CONVEX FUNCTIONS IN CONTINUOUS VARIABLES

Kazuo Murota  
*University of Tokyo*

Akiyoshi Shioura  
*Tohoku University*

(Received March 27, 2008)

*Abstract* M-convex and L-convex functions in continuous variables constitute subclasses of convex functions with nice combinatorial properties. In this note we give proofs of the fundamental facts that closed proper M-convex and L-convex functions are continuous on their effective domains.

**Keywords:** Combinatorial optimization, convex function, continuity, submodular function, matroid.

### 1. Introduction

Two kinds of convexity concepts, called M-convexity and L-convexity, play primary roles in the theory of discrete convex analysis [6]. They are originally introduced for functions in integer variables by Murota [4, 5], and then for functions in continuous variables by Murota–Shioura [8, 10].

M-convex and L-convex functions in continuous variables constitute subclasses of convex functions with additional combinatorial properties such as submodularity and diagonal dominance (see, e.g., [6–11]). Fundamental properties of M-convex and L-convex functions are investigated in [9], such as equivalent axioms, subgradients, directional derivatives, etc. Conjugacy relationship between M-convex and L-convex functions under the Legendre-Fenchel transformation is shown in [10]. Subclasses of M-convex and L-convex functions are investigated in [8] (polyhedral M-convex and L-convex functions) and in [11] (quadratic M-convex and L-convex functions). As variants of M-convex and L-convex functions, the concepts of  $M^{\natural}$ -convex and  $L^{\natural}$ -convex functions are also introduced by Murota–Shioura [8, 10], where “ $M^{\natural}$ ” and “ $L^{\natural}$ ” should be read “M-natural” and “L-natural,” respectively.

M-convex and L-convex functions in continuous variables appear naturally in various research areas. In inventory theory, a recent paper of Zipkin [13] sheds a new light on some classical results of Karlin–Scarf [2] and Morton [3] by pointing out that the optimal-cost function possesses  $L^{\natural}$ -convexity. Quadratic  $L^{\natural}$ -convex functions are exactly the same as the (finite dimensional case of) Dirichlet forms used in probability theory [1]. It is shown in [7, Section 14.8] that for (the finite dimensional distribution of) stochastic processes such as Gaussian processes and additive processes, cumulant generating functions and rate functions are  $M^{\natural}$ -convex and  $L^{\natural}$ -convex, respectively. The energy consumed in a nonlinear electrical network is an  $L^{\natural}$ -convex function when expressed as a function in terminal voltages, and is an  $M^{\natural}$ -convex function as a function in terminal currents [6, Section 2.2].

In this note, we discuss continuity issues of M-convex and L-convex functions in continuous variables. Although continuity is one of the most fundamental properties of functions, discussion on continuity is missing in the literature of M-convex and L-convex functions.

The aim of this note is to give proofs of the facts that closed proper M-convex and L-convex functions are continuous on their effective domains. The main results of this note are summarized as follows, where the precise definitions of closed proper M-convex and L-convex functions are given in Section 2.1.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ .*

(i) *If  $f$  is closed proper M-convex, then it is continuous on  $\text{dom } f$ .*

(ii) *If  $f$  is closed proper  $M^\sharp$ -convex, then it is continuous on  $\text{dom } f$ .*

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ .*

(i) *If  $g$  is closed proper L-convex, then it is continuous on  $\text{dom } g$ .*

(ii) *If  $g$  is closed proper  $L^\sharp$ -convex, then it is continuous on  $\text{dom } g$ .*

It may be mentioned that our proof of Theorem 1.2 shows that an L-convex ( $L^\sharp$ -convex) function is upper semi-continuous even if it is not closed.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. M-convex and L-convex functions

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be a function. A function  $f$  is said to be *convex* if its epigraph  $\{(x, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \mid \alpha \geq f(x)\}$  is a convex set. A convex function  $f$  is said to be *proper* if the effective domain  $\text{dom } f$  of  $f$  given by  $\text{dom } f = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f(x) < +\infty\}$  is nonempty, and *closed* if its epigraph is a closed set.

A function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is said to be *M-convex* if it is convex and satisfies (M-EXC):

**(M-EXC)**  $\forall x, y \in \text{dom } f, \forall i \in \text{supp}^+(x-y), \exists j \in \text{supp}^-(x-y), \exists \alpha_0 > 0$  satisfying

$$f(x) + f(y) \geq f(x - \alpha(\chi_i - \chi_j)) + f(y + \alpha(\chi_i - \chi_j)) \quad (\forall \alpha \in [0, \alpha_0]),$$

where  $\chi_i \in \{0, 1\}^n$  denotes the characteristic vector of  $i \in N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}^+(x - y) &= \{i \in N \mid x(i) > y(i)\}, \\ \text{supp}^-(x - y) &= \{i \in N \mid x(i) < y(i)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We call a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$   *$M^\sharp$ -convex* if the function  $\widehat{f} : \mathbb{R}^{\widehat{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  defined by

$$\widehat{f}(x_0, x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & ((x_0, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{\widehat{N}}, x_0 = -x(N)), \\ +\infty & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

is M-convex, where  $\widehat{N} = \{0\} \cup N$  and  $x(N) = \sum_{i \in N} x(i)$ . An M-convex (resp.,  $M^\sharp$ -convex) function is said to be *closed proper M-convex* (resp., *closed proper  $M^\sharp$ -convex*) if it is closed and proper, in addition.

A function  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is said to be *L-convex* if it is convex and satisfies (LF1) and (LF2):

**(LF1)**  $g(p) + g(q) \geq g(p \wedge q) + g(p \vee q)$  ( $\forall p, q \in \text{dom } g$ ),

**(LF2)**  $\exists r \in \mathbb{R} : g(p + \alpha \mathbf{1}) = g(p) + \alpha r$  ( $\forall p \in \text{dom } g, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ),

where  $p \wedge q, p \vee q \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are given by

$$(p \wedge q)(i) = \min\{p(i), q(i)\}, \quad (p \vee q)(i) = \max\{p(i), q(i)\} \quad (i \in N),$$

and  $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We call a function  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$   *$L^\sharp$ -convex* if the function  $\widehat{g} : \mathbb{R}^{\widehat{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  defined by

$$\widehat{g}(p_0, p) = g(p - p_0 \mathbf{1}) \quad ((p_0, p) \in \mathbb{R}^{\widehat{N}})$$

is  $L$ -convex, where  $\widehat{N} = \{0\} \cup N$ . An  $L$ -convex (resp.,  $L^h$ -convex) function is said to be *closed proper  $L$ -convex* (resp., *closed proper  $L^h$ -convex*) if it is closed and proper, in addition.

**2.2. Basic facts from convex analysis**

As technical preliminaries we describe some facts known in convex analysis. This also serves to illustrate the present issue.

Let  $S$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The *affine hull*  $\text{aff}(S)$  of  $S$  is given by

$$\text{aff}(S) = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j x_j \mid m : \text{positive integer, } x_j \in S, \lambda_j \in \mathbb{R} \ (j = 1, 2, \dots, m), \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j = 1 \right\}.$$

We denote by  $\text{cl}(S)$  the closure of  $S$ , i.e., the smallest closed set containing  $S$ . The *relative interior*  $\text{ri}(S)$  of  $S$  is given as the set of vectors  $x \in S$  such that there exists a sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  satisfying

$$\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|y - x\| \leq \varepsilon\} \cap \text{aff}(S) \subseteq S.$$

The *relative boundary* of  $S$  is given by the set  $\text{cl}(S) \setminus \text{ri}(S)$ .

**Theorem 2.1** ([12, Theorem 10.1]). *Any convex function is continuous on the relative interior of the effective domain.*

Theorem 2.1 implies, in particular, that a convex function is continuous on the effective domain if the effective domain is an open set.

On the other hand, a convex function is not necessarily continuous at relative boundary points of the effective domain, even if it is closed proper convex, as shown in the following example.

**Example 2.2** ([12, Section 10]). Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be a function defined by

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{y^2}{2x} & (x > 0), \\ 0 & (x = y = 0), \\ +\infty & (\text{otherwise}), \end{cases}$$

which is closed proper convex since its epigraph  $\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid z \geq f(x, y)\}$  is a closed convex set. It is easy to see that  $f$  is continuous at every point of  $\text{dom } f$ , except at the origin  $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ . For any positive number  $\alpha$ , we have

$$\lim_{y \downarrow 0} f\left(\frac{y^2}{2\alpha}, y\right) = \lim_{y \downarrow 0} \alpha = \alpha \neq 0 = f(0, 0),$$

which shows that  $f$  is not continuous at the origin. □

A sufficient condition for a closed proper convex function to be continuous on the effective domain is given in terms of “locally simplicial” sets. A subset  $S$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is said to be *locally simplicial* if for each  $x \in S$  there exists a finite collection of simplices  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m$  contained in  $S$  such that

$$U \cap (T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \dots \cup T_m) = U \cap S$$

for some neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$ . The class of locally simplicial sets includes line segments, polyhedra, and relatively open convex sets.

**Theorem 2.3** ([12, Theorem 10.2]). *Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be a closed proper convex function. For a locally simplicial set  $S \subseteq \text{dom } f$ , the function  $f$  is continuous on  $S$ . In particular,  $f$  is continuous on  $\text{dom } f$  if  $\text{dom } f$  is locally simplicial.*

### 3. Continuity of Closed Proper M-/L-convex Functions

We now consider the continuity of closed proper M-/L-convex functions.

The effective domains of closed proper M-/L-convex functions are “essentially polyhedral” in the sense that the closure of the effective domains are polyhedra (see Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 below). Hence, the continuity of closed proper M-/L-convex functions follows from Theorem 2.3 when the effective domains are closed sets. The effective domains of closed proper M-/L-convex functions, however, are not necessarily closed, as shown in the following example.

**Example 3.1.** Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be a function defined by

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x} & (0 < x \leq 1), \\ +\infty & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

Then,  $\varphi$  is a closed proper convex function such that the effective domain  $\text{dom } \varphi$  is an interval  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < x \leq 1\}$ , which is neither a closed set nor a relatively open set.

Using  $\varphi$  we define functions  $f, g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= \begin{cases} \varphi(x) & (x + y = 0), \\ +\infty & (x + y \neq 0), \end{cases} & ((x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2), \\ g(x, y) &= \varphi(x - y) & ((x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $f$  and  $g$  are closed proper M-convex and L-convex functions, respectively. Neither  $\text{dom } f$  nor  $\text{dom } g$  is a closed set.  $\square$

Although the effective domains are not always closed, they are well-behaved and almost polyhedral, as follows.

A polyhedron  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is said to be *M-convex* (resp., *M<sup>h</sup>-convex*, *L-convex*, *L<sup>h</sup>-convex*) if the indicator function  $\delta_S : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \{0, +\infty\}$  defined by

$$\delta_S(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & (x \in S), \\ +\infty & (x \notin S) \end{cases}$$

is M-convex (resp., M<sup>h</sup>-convex, L-convex, L<sup>h</sup>-convex).

**Theorem 3.2.** *For any closed proper M-convex (resp., M<sup>h</sup>-convex) function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ , the set  $\text{cl}(\text{dom } f)$  is an M-convex (resp., M<sup>h</sup>-convex) polyhedron.*

*Proof.* The proof is given in Section 4.1.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *For any closed proper L-convex (resp., L<sup>h</sup>-convex) function  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ , the set  $\text{cl}(\text{dom } g)$  is an L-convex (resp., L<sup>h</sup>-convex) polyhedron.*

*Proof.* The proof is given in Section 4.2.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *The effective domain of a closed proper M-convex (resp., M<sup>h</sup>-convex) function is a locally simplicial set.*

*Proof.* The proof is given in Section 4.3.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** *The effective domain of a closed proper L-convex (resp., L<sup>h</sup>-convex) function is a locally simplicial set.*

*Proof.* The proof is given in Section 4.4.  $\square$

The continuity of closed proper M-/L-convex functions, as claimed in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, follows from Theorems 2.3, 3.4, and 3.5.

4. Proofs

4.1. Proof of Theorem 3.2

For any closed proper convex function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ , we define a function  $f0^+ : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  by

$$(f0^+)(y) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x + \lambda y) - f(x)}{\lambda} \quad (y \in \mathbb{R}^n),$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is any fixed vector in  $\text{dom } f$ . The function  $f0^+$  is called the *recession function* of  $f$  (see [12] for the original definition of the recession function). The recession function  $f0^+$  is a positively homogeneous closed proper convex function, i.e.,  $f0^+$  is closed proper convex and satisfies  $(f0^+)(\lambda x) = \lambda(f0^+)(x)$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Our proof of Theorem 3.2 is based on the following fact, where for a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  the *conjugate*  $f^\bullet$  of  $f$  is given by

$$f^\bullet(p) = \sup\{p^T x - f(x) \mid x \in \text{dom } f\} \quad (p \in \mathbb{R}^n).$$

**Theorem 4.1** ([12, Theorem 13.3]). *For any closed proper convex function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ , the recession function  $f0^+$  is the support function of  $\text{dom } f^\bullet$ , i.e., it holds that*

$$(f0^+)(x) = \sup\{p^T x \mid p \in \text{dom } f^\bullet\} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n).$$

It suffices to consider a closed proper M-convex function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ . Then, its conjugate function  $g = f^\bullet$  is a closed proper L-convex function [10, Theorem 1.1]. As shown below, the recession function  $g0^+$  of  $g$  is L-convex. This implies that the support function of (the closure of)  $\text{dom } f^\bullet$  is a positively homogeneous L-convex function, which in turn implies that  $\text{cl}(\text{dom } f^\bullet)$  is an M-convex polyhedron [8, Theorem 4.38].

We now show the L-convexity of the recession function  $g0^+$ . Namely, we prove that  $g0^+$  satisfies (LF1) and (LF2).

Let  $p_0 \in \text{dom } g$  be any fixed vector. Then, the recession function  $g0^+$  is given as

$$(g0^+)(p) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(p_0 + \lambda p) - g(p_0)}{\lambda} \quad (p \in \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Since  $g$  satisfies (LF2), there exists  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$g(p + \alpha \mathbf{1}) = g(p) + \alpha r \quad (\forall p \in \text{dom } g, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}). \tag{4.1}$$

For any  $p \in \text{dom } g0^+$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (g0^+)(p + \alpha \mathbf{1}) &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(p_0 + \lambda(p + \alpha \mathbf{1})) - g(p_0)}{\lambda} \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(p_0 + \lambda p) + \lambda \alpha r - g(p_0)}{\lambda} \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(p_0 + \lambda p) - g(p_0)}{\lambda} + \alpha r \\ &= (g0^+)(p) + \alpha r, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is by (4.1). Hence, (LF2) holds for  $g0^+$ .

Let  $p, q \in \text{dom } g0^+$ . For any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , we have

$$g(p_0 + \lambda p) + g(p_0 + \lambda q) \geq g(p_0 + \lambda(p \wedge q)) + g(p_0 + \lambda(p \vee q))$$

by (LF1) for  $g$ . Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & g0^+(p) + g0^+(q) \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(p_0 + \lambda p) - g(p_0)}{\lambda} + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(p_0 + \lambda q) - g(p_0)}{\lambda} \\ &\geq \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(p_0 + \lambda(p \wedge q)) - g(p_0)}{\lambda} + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(p_0 + \lambda(p \vee q)) - g(p_0)}{\lambda} \\ &= g0^+(p \wedge q) + g0^+(p \vee q), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., (LF1) holds for  $g0^+$ .

**4.2. Proof of Theorem 3.3**

It suffices to consider a closed proper L-convex function  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ . The properties (LF1) and (LF2) for  $g$  imply that  $D = \text{dom } g$  satisfies the following properties:

- (LS1)  $p, q \in D \implies p \wedge q, p \vee q \in D,$
- (LS2)  $p \in D \implies p + \lambda \mathbf{1} \in D (\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}).$

Therefore, Theorem 3.3 follows immediately from the next theorem.

**Theorem 4.2.** *For any nonempty set  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , let*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_D(i, j) &= \sup\{p(j) - p(i) \mid p \in D\} \quad (i, j \in N), \\ \tilde{D} &= \{p \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid p(j) - p(i) \leq \gamma_D(i, j) \ (i, j \in N)\}. \end{aligned}$$

*If  $D$  satisfies (LS1) and (LS2), then we have  $\text{cl}(D) = \tilde{D}$ .*

*Proof.* The inclusion  $\text{cl}(D) \subseteq \tilde{D}$  is easy to see. To prove the reverse inclusion, we show that  $q \in D$  holds for any vector  $q$  in the relative interior of  $\tilde{D}$ .

We first show that for any  $i, j \in N$  there exists  $p_{ij} \in D$  such that

$$p_{ij}(j) - p_{ij}(i) \geq q(j) - q(i).$$

If  $-\gamma_D(j, i) = \gamma_D(i, j)$ , then any vector in  $D$  can be chosen as  $p_{ij}$  since for any  $p \in D$  we have  $p(j) - p(i) = \gamma_D(i, j) = q(j) - q(i)$ . Hence, we suppose that  $-\gamma_D(j, i) < \gamma_D(i, j)$  holds. Then, we have  $q(j) - q(i) < \gamma_D(i, j)$  since  $q$  is in the relative interior of  $\tilde{D}$ . By the definition of  $\gamma_D(i, j)$ , there exists some  $p_{ij} \in D$  such that  $q(j) - q(i) \leq p_{ij}(j) - p_{ij}(i) \leq \gamma_D(i, j)$ .

By (LS2), we may assume that  $p_{ij}(i) = q(i)$  and  $p_{ij}(j) \geq q(j)$ . For each  $i \in N$ , the vector  $p_i = p_{i1} \vee p_{i2} \vee \dots \vee p_{in}$  ( $\in D$ ) satisfies  $p_i(i) = q(i)$ ,  $p_i(j) \geq q(j)$  for all  $j \in N$ . Therefore, it holds that  $q = p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge \dots \wedge p_n \in D$ . □

**4.3. Proof of Theorem 3.4**

For any set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and a vector  $x \in S$ , we denote by  $\text{cone}(S, x)$  the conic hull of the vectors  $\{y - x \mid y \in S\}$ , i.e.,  $\text{cone}(S, x)$  is the set of vectors  $d \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $d = \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k (y_k - x)$  for some positive integer  $m$  and  $y_k \in S$ ,  $\alpha_k > 0$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ). The following is immediate from the definition of locally simplicial sets.

**Lemma 4.3.** *A convex set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is locally simplicial if for each  $x \in S$ ,  $\text{cone}(S, x)$  is a polyhedral cone.*

For the proof of Theorem 3.4 it suffices to consider an M-convex function. Then, Theorem 3.4 follows from Lemma 4.3 and the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be a closed proper M-convex function. For any  $x \in \text{dom } f$ , it holds that*

$$\text{cone}(\text{dom } f, x) = \text{cone}(R_x, x),$$

where  $R_x \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a polyhedral cone given by

$$R_x = \{\chi_j - \chi_i \mid i, j \in N, i \neq j, x + \alpha(\chi_j - \chi_i) \in \text{dom } f \text{ for some } \alpha > 0\}.$$

To prove Lemma 4.4 we use the following properties of M-convex functions.

**Lemma 4.5** ([10, Proposition 2.2]). *If  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is closed proper M-convex, then  $x(N) = y(N)$  for all  $x, y \in \text{dom } f$ .*

**Lemma 4.6** ([10, Theorem 3.11]). *Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be a closed proper convex function. Then,  $f$  satisfies (M-EXC) if and only if it satisfies (M-EXC<sub>s</sub>):*

$$\text{(M-EXC}_s\text{)} \quad \forall x, y \in \text{dom } f, \forall i \in \text{supp}^+(x - y), \exists j \in \text{supp}^-(x - y) :$$

$$f(x) + f(y) \geq f(x - \alpha(\chi_i - \chi_j)) + f(y + \alpha(\chi_i - \chi_j)) \quad (\forall \alpha \in [0, \alpha_0(x, y, i)]),$$

where  $\alpha_0(x, y, i)$  is the number given by

$$\alpha_0(x, y, i) = \frac{x(i) - y(i)}{2|\text{supp}^-(x - y)|}$$

and satisfies  $\alpha_0(x, y, i) \leq \{y(j) - x(j)\}/2$ .

*Proof of Lemma 4.4.* It is easy to see that  $\text{cone}(R_x, x) \subseteq \text{cone}(\text{dom } f, x)$ . To prove the reverse inclusion, it suffices to show that  $y - x \in \text{cone}(R_x, x)$  for any  $y \in \text{dom } f$ .

We will show that there exists a sequence of vectors  $y_k$  ( $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that  $y_0 = y$  and

$$y_k \in \text{dom } f, y_k \neq x, y - y_k \in \text{cone}(R_x, x) \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots), \tag{4.2}$$

$$\|y_{k+1} - x\|_1 \leq (1 - \frac{1}{2n^2})\|y_k - x\|_1. \tag{4.3}$$

This implies that  $y - x = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (y - y_k) \in \text{cone}(R_x, x)$ , since  $\text{cone}(R_x, x)$  is a closed set.

We define the vectors  $y_k$  ( $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) iteratively as follows. Suppose that  $y_k$  is already defined and satisfies the condition (4.2). Since  $y_k \neq x$ , we have  $\text{supp}^+(y_k - x) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $i \in \text{supp}^+(y_k - x)$  be such that

$$y_k(i) - x(i) = \max\{y_k(i') - x(i') \mid i' \in \text{supp}^+(y_k - x)\}. \tag{4.4}$$

By Lemma 4.6, there exists  $j \in \text{supp}^-(y_k - x)$  such that

$$y_k - \alpha(\chi_i - \chi_j) \in \text{dom } f, x + \alpha(\chi_i - \chi_j) \in \text{dom } f,$$

where  $\alpha = (y_k(i) - x(i))/2n$ . Then,  $y_{k+1}$  is defined as  $y_{k+1} = y_k - \alpha(\chi_i - \chi_j)$ .

We now show that the vector  $y_{k+1}$  satisfies the conditions (4.2) and (4.3). Since  $y_{k+1}(i) > x(i)$ , we have  $y_{k+1} \neq x$ . Since  $x + \alpha(\chi_i - \chi_j) \in \text{dom } f$ , we have  $\chi_i - \chi_j \in R_x$ , which, together with  $y - y_k \in \text{cone}(R_x, x)$ , implies

$$y - y_{k+1} = (y - y_k) + \alpha(\chi_i - \chi_j) \in \text{cone}(R_x, x).$$

Since  $y_k(N) = x(N)$  by Lemma 4.5, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_k - x\|_1 &= 2 \sum \{y_k(i') - x(i') \mid i' \in \text{supp}^+(y_k - x)\} \\ &\leq 2n(y_k(i) - x(i)) \\ &= 4n^2\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality is by (4.4). Hence, it holds that

$$\|y_{k+1} - x\|_1 = \|y_k - x\|_1 - 2\alpha \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2n^2}\right) \|y_k - x\|_1.$$

□

#### 4.4. Proof of Theorem 3.5

Theorem 3.5 follows from Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.7 below. Note that it suffices to consider an L-convex function.

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be an L-convex function. For any  $p \in \text{dom } g$ , it holds that*

$$\text{cone}(\text{dom } g, p) = \text{cone}(R_p, p),$$

where  $R_p \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a polyhedral cone given by

$$R_p = \{\chi_X \mid X \subset N, p + \alpha\chi_X \in \text{dom } g \text{ for some } \alpha > 0\} \cup \{+\mathbf{1}, -\mathbf{1}\}.$$

To prove Lemma 4.7, we use the following property of L-convex functions.

**Lemma 4.8** ([9, Proposition 3.10]). *If  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is L-convex, then we have*

$$g(p) + g(q) \geq g(p + \lambda\chi_X) + g(q - \lambda\chi_X)$$

for all  $p, q \in \text{dom } g$  and  $\lambda \in [0, \lambda_1 - \lambda_2]$ , where  $\chi_X \in \{0, 1\}^n$  denotes the characteristic vector of  $X \subseteq N$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= \max\{q(i) - p(i) \mid i \in N\}, \\ X &= \{i \in N \mid q(i) - p(i) = \lambda_1\}, \\ \lambda_2 &= \max\{q(i) - p(i) \mid i \in N \setminus X\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof of Lemma 4.7.* It is easy to see that  $\text{cone}(R_p, p) \subseteq \text{cone}(\text{dom } g, p)$ , where it is noted that  $p + \alpha\mathbf{1} \in \text{dom } g$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . To show the reverse inclusion, it suffices to show that  $q - p \in \text{cone}(R_p, p)$  for any  $q \in \text{dom } g$ .

Since both of the sets  $\text{dom } g$  and  $\text{cone}(R_p, p)$  satisfy the property (LS2) (see Section 4.2 for the definition of (LS2)), we may assume that  $p \leq q$  and  $p(i_0) = q(i_0)$  for some  $i_0 \in N$ . We prove  $q - p \in \text{cone}(R_p, p)$  by induction on the number  $m$  of distinct values in  $\{q(i) - p(i) \mid i \in N\}$ .

If  $m = 0$ , then we have  $q - p = \mathbf{0} \in \text{cone}(R_p, p)$ . Hence, we assume  $m > 0$ , which implies  $q(i_1) > p(i_1)$  for some  $i_1 \in N$ . By Lemma 4.8, we have

$$p + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\chi_X \in \text{dom } g, \quad q - (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\chi_X \in \text{dom } g,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= \max\{q(i) - p(i) \mid i \in N\}, \\ X &= \{i \in N \mid q(i) - p(i) = \lambda_1\}, \\ \lambda_2 &= \max\{q(i) - p(i) \mid i \in N \setminus X\}. \end{aligned}$$



We note that  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are finite values and  $X$  is a nonempty proper subset of  $N$ . Put  $\tilde{q} = q - (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\chi_X$ . Then, the number of distinct values in  $\{\tilde{q}(i) - p(i) \mid i \in N\}$  is equal to  $m - 1$ . Therefore, the induction hypothesis implies  $\tilde{q} - p \in \text{cone}(R_p, p)$ . We also have  $\chi_X \in R_p$  since  $p + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\chi_X \in \text{dom } g$ . Hence, it holds that

$$q - p = (\tilde{q} - p) + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\chi_X \in \text{cone}(R_p, p).$$

□

### Acknowledgements

This work is supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan.

### References

- [1] M. Fukushima, Y. Oshima and M. Takeda: *Dirichlet Forms and Symmetric Markov Processes* (Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1994).
- [2] S. Karlin and H. Scarf: Inventory models of the Arrow–Harris–Marschak type with time lag. In K. Arrow, S. Karlin and H. Scarf (eds.): *Studies in the Mathematical Theory of Inventory and Production* (Stanford University Press, Stanford, 1958).
- [3] T. Morton: Bounds on the solution of the lagged optimal inventory equation with no demand backlogging and proportional costs. *SIAM Review*, **11** (1969), 572–576.
- [4] K. Murota: Convexity and Steinitz’s exchange property. *Advances in Mathematics*, **124** (1996), 272–311.
- [5] K. Murota: Discrete convex analysis. *Mathematical Programming*, **83** (1998), 313–371.
- [6] K. Murota: *Discrete Convex Analysis* (Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, 2003).
- [7] K. Murota: *Primer of Discrete Convex Analysis: Discrete versus Continuous Optimization* (Kyoritsu Publishing Co., Tokyo, 2007). (In Japanese)
- [8] K. Murota and A. Shioura: Extension of M-convexity and L-convexity to polyhedral convex functions. *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, **25** (2000), 352–427.
- [9] K. Murota and A. Shioura: Fundamental properties of M-convex and L-convex functions in continuous variables. *IEICE Transactions on Fundamentals of Electronics, Communications and Computer Sciences*, **E87-A** (2004), 1042–1052.
- [10] K. Murota and A. Shioura: Conjugacy relationship between M-convex and L-convex functions in continuous variables. *Mathematical Programming*, **101** (2004), 415–433.
- [11] K. Murota and A. Shioura: Quadratic M-convex and L-convex functions. *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, **33** (2004), 318–341.
- [12] R.T. Rockafellar: *Convex Analysis*. (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1970).
- [13] P. Zipkin: On the structure of lost-sales inventory models. *Operations Research*, **56** (2008), 937–944.

Akiyoshi Shioura  
 Graduate School of Information Sciences  
 Tohoku University  
 Aramaki aza Aoba 6-3-09, Aoba-ku  
 Sendai 980-8579, Japan  
 E-mail: shioura@dais.is.tohoku.ac.jp